Modelling and measurements of vertical strain-rates under ice domes and ridges

Fabien Gillet-Chaulet, R. C. A. Hindmarsh, H. F. J. Corr, E. C. King and R. Mulvaney

Antarctic Survey

NATURAL ENVIRONMENT RESEARCH COUNCIL

Where, why and when should the next deep ice core in West Antarctica be drilled?

- We are usually looking for a **long and undisturbed** stratigraphy

- Ice domes and ridges are usually preferred locations

We need **good ice flow models** to choose the good locations and make the chronology of the cores, but the **ice flow law is not well constrained**

=> **Model** and **measure** strain-rates under ridges and domes to give clues to the where and why

Outline I. Introduction:

- Ice flow law
- Raymond Effect
- Some examples of ice domes
- II. 3D modelling of the flow of ice under ridges and domes
 - Triple junctions
 - Curved Ridges

III. In situ strain-rate measurements using a phase sensitive radar

- Summit Greenland
- NEEM Greenland
- Fuchs Ice Rise Antarctica

I Introduction: Ice flow law



<u>Non-linearity</u> Usually n=3 but values less than 2, possibly close to 1 have been reported Anisotropy Usually isotropic Glen's flow law

I Introduction: Raymond Effect



Raymond bumps depend of the ice rheology but also of the divide history => need direct measurements Many studies but mainly in 2D => often 3D effects can't be neglected

I Introduction: Fletcher Ice Rise

Dome = meeting point of 3 ridges, a **triple junction**



=> BAS project to drill a new ice core under the dome to study the elevation history of this area

I Introduction: Berkner Island, Summit Greenland

2 examples of elongated curved ridges

Berkner Island Thyssen Hohe (South dome)

Greenland - Summit area 5km DEM (Bamber et al., 2001)



II 3D Modelling : Definitions

We use the finite element code ELMER to solve the set of the Stokes equation -Free surface

(initially axysymmetric) - Accumulation b=cst Symmetry plan Frozen bed $\frac{1}{2}\frac{n+2}{n+2}$ $ec{u}.ec{n}$ $(-H)^{-(n+2)}Rb[(z-H)^{n+1}-(-H)^{n+1}]f(\theta)$

SIA profile

Fabien Gillet-Chaulet, Modelling and measurements of vertical strain-rates under ice domes and ridges

mass conservation

II 3D Modelling : Triple junctions

A 120° periodic forcing leads to a stable triple junction



II 3D Modelling : Triple junctions higher is n sharper is the ridge





–1.8 –1.6 –1.4 –1.2 –1.0 –0.8 Dzz



II 3D Modelling : curved ridge

A non periodic forcing leads to a stable curved ridge



like the actual summit of Greenland



III Strain-rate measurement : Pres Phase sensitive radar







III SR measurement : Summit-Greenland



III SR measurement : NEEM-Greenland

Measurements taken 1 year apart



Flow mostly **along ridge** => no Raymond effect!!

III SR measurement : Fuchs-Antarctica

Measurements taken 2 months apart



High thinning rate compatible with satellite measurements (1.6ma⁻¹, Pritchard (unpublished data))

Conclusion and outlooks

-The shape and position of ice domes depends of **the ice rheology** <u>and</u> of **large scale effects**

- Vertical strain-rate can "easily" be measured using a **phase sensitive radar** and complete surface and GPR data

- **Surface and Pres** data show a non-linear ice flow law for the central part of Greenland. What is the role of **anisotropy**?

-The Pres will be used in Berkner Island this year, and can also be used to measure **melt rates** under the shelves

II 3D Modelling : Along ridge flow

Periodic velocity

Symmetry plan





Free surface Cst accumulation SIA velocity mass + conservation

II 3D Modelling : Along ridge flow



III Strain-rate measurement : Pres Phase sensitive radar t1





