Geological Evidence for Subglacial floods: example from Pine Island Bay, West Antarctica
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Where these subglacial drainage systems active during the post-LGM retreat of the ice sheet?

What evidence is there that subglacial water contributed to ice stream instability in the past?
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Subglacial Water Storage Potential

Interior basin of Pine Island Bay → isolated basins and connected basins.

Deep Basins storage capacity of ~70 km$^3$ stagnant water
Fig. 7: Digitized lineations indicating ice flow (black), minor (blue) and major meltwater channels (red with arrows). The extent of swath bathymetry data is shown as gray shaded area. Light and dark gray shaded areas represent ice shelves and land, respectively, from the Antarctic Digital Database v6 (http://www.add.scar.org).

Nitsche et al., 2012
Punctuated retreat of ice sheet from the continental shelf in both areas is indicated by wedges and other features.

Movement of water may be due to changing ice profile or shallowing of the grounding line, reaching a critical level in the hydraulic potential.
Where these subglacial drainage systems active during the post-LGM retreat of the ice sheet?
Meltwater? derived sediments
Timing?
Widespread distribution pattern and accumulation at multiple water depths indicates dispersal by surface currents.
AutoSub mission beneath the modern Pine Island ice shelf support the presence of suspended sediment and transport of this sediment by ocean currents.
Distinguishing Transport Mechanism

Grain shape and texture of silt grains indicate glacial abrasion and transport with no significant alteration of grains.

High Kaolinite concentration of clay fraction indicates non-glacial source.
The sediment accumulation rates for subunit 1A are an order of magnitude faster than rates for subunit 1B. Current rates are on the order of 1 mm/yr, which implies high erosion rates.
We present measurements of ice thickness, gravimetry and surface elevation on Pine Island Glacier, West Antarctica, separated by a period of 49 years. At one station, on the main trunk of the glacier we measured a surface elevation lowering with no significant change in ice thickness. We interpret these as indicating subglacial erosion of 31.8 ± 13.4 m at this location, at a mean rate over the measurement period of 0.6 ± 0.3 m and suggest that a current erosion rate of 1 m a−1 is possible.
Conclusion

• Well organized subglacial drainage networks occur in Pine Island Bay and in Marguerite Bay where extensive paleodrainage areas of paleo-ice streams have been mapped in detail.

• There is sufficient connectivity between channels and basins to enable organized drainage once a critical level in hydraulic potential has been met.

• Both areas experienced post-LGM histories with punctuated back-stepping grounding lines throughout their retreat from the continental shelf.

• Sediment cores from both areas sampled well sorted silts that are interpreted as having been derived from subglacial meltwater and dispersed by surface currents.

• The youngest “plumite” deposit is a modern deposit. Its relatively high accumulation rate is consistent with high erosion rates that have been observed beneath PIG (Smith et al., 2012).