Determination of local slope using photon-counting laser altimetry

Kelly Brunt

GESTAR, Morgan State University, NASA GSFC Tom Neumann & Thorsten Markus NASA GSFC

Sermiligaarsuk, Greenland 20 Apr 2012 Photo: Tim Williams, NASA, DFRC



ICESat surface change (2003 to 2009)





ICESat surface slope





ICESat-2 (2016/2017) measurement concept

- -Micro-pulse photon counting laser altimeter -532 nm
- -High repetition rate (10 kHz)
- -Dense along-track sampling (70 cm)
- -Small (10 m) footprint
- -~1400 tracks, repeated every 91 days
- -Multi-beam sampling (3 pairs of beams)
- -3 km spacing between pairs for spatial coverage
- -90 m spacing within pairs for slope determination

http://icesat.gsfc.nasa.gov/icesat2/





ICESat surface slope







ICESat surface slope







ICESat-2 surface slope







Multiple Altimeter Beam Experimental Lidar (MABEL)

-Photon counting lidar
-Variable beam configuration (±1 km swath)
-532 (16 channels) and 1064 (8 channels) nm
-Variable repetition rate (5-25 kHz)
-For Greenland 2012 deployment:

-NASA ER-2 (~20 km, 200 m/s)

-Dense along-track sampling (4 cm) -Small footprint (2 m)





Scaled Composites Proteus



http://icesat.gsfc.nasa.gov/icesat2/data/mabel/mabel_docs.ph



MABEL 2012 Greenland deployment (Keflavik, Iceland)





NASA/ARC, Airborne Science Program, Airborne Sensor Facility, UCSC-UARC



MABEL photon counting lidar data, 'Iceland'





Precision and beam biases of MABEL





Precision and beam biases of MABEL





Comparison of MABEL and ATM across-track slope assessments



Southern Traverse

-2 beams, ICESat-2-like spacing
-interpolated along-track by time
-calculated across-track slope

-ATM mean slope: 0.99° -MABEL mean slope: 1.03° -mean slope residual: 0.03°



Comparison of MABEL and ATM across-track slope assessments



-ATM mean slope: 0.07° -MABEL mean slope: 0.11° -mean slope residual: 0.04°



Comparison of MABEL and ATM across-track slope assessments

-Simulated strong/weak beam pair

-Downsampled HDF5 MABEL data, factors 4 and 10 -Rerun through ground-finding algorithm

-MABEL ~50% of model ICESat-2 performance

-For factor of 10, we break ground-finder
-standard deviation (South): 1.14° increases to 2.41°
-standard deviation (Summit): 0.09° increases to 5.87°





Summary

- MABEL precision (20 Apr 2012): ±14 cm
- MABEL and ATM comparison using a wide range of slopes (0° to 6°), similar to WAIS extremes
- Mean MABEL and ATM slope residuals: <= 0.04°
- Slope results break down when subsampling by a factor of 10; associated with ground-finding

MABEL results in Greenland give us confidence that the ICESat-2 sampling strategy (90-m spacing and the strong/weak beam relationship) will allow for the separation of the effects of local slope from true ice-sheet elevation change with just 2 satellite passes; this strategy is ideal for change determination on WAIS.

Brunt, Neumann, Walsh, & Markus (accepted) Determination of local slope on the Greenland Ice Sheet using a multibeam photoncounting lidar in preparation for the ICESat-2 mission. IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Letters (doi:10.1109/LGRS.2013.2282217)

Acknowledgements:

M. McGill, W. Cook, E. De Marco, D. Reed, S. Disque, and W. Hart (NASA/GSFC) for Greenland MABEL support; D. Hancock and J. Lee (NASA/WFF), and C. Webb and S. Luthcke (NASA/GSFC) for MABEL angle calibration; K. Walsh (NASA/GSFC) for ground-finding algorithm; NSIDC for ATM data distribution; NASA/DAOF (specifically pilots T. Williams and D.S. Broce) for Greenland field support; Operation IceBridge for coordinated flights; K. Krabill (NASA/WFF) for GPS data;

> ICESat-2: http://icesat.gsfc.nasa.gov/icesat2/ MABEL: http://icesat.gsfc.nasa.gov/icesat2/data/mabel/mabel_docs.php