



JPL/UCI

Towards better simulations of ice/ocean coupling in the Amundsen Sea Sector, West Antarctica, using a coupled ocean, sea-ice, and ice-sheet model.

Eric LAROUR¹, Dimitris MENEMENLIS¹, Michael SCHODLOK^{2,1} Helene SEROUSSI¹,

¹Jet Propulsion Laboratory/California Institute of Technology/NASA, CA, US

² Joint Institute for Regional Earth System Science and Engineering, University of California at Los Angeles,



3 Results

Outline

Introduction

4 Conclusions/Perspectives



JPL

2/17 · Larour et al. · WAIS 2014 Meeting · September 25, 2014

2 Model

8 Results

Ocnclusions/Perspectives



- Acceleration of Pine Island Glacier in the past two decades, synchronous with increased melt rate at the grounding line ?
- How does the cavity shape interact with the ocean circulation?
- How do melt-rates impact grounding line dynamics?
- Could melt-rates and channelization be the controlling factor of migration, and not stress-balance at the grounding line?
- One-way coupled ice/ocean models cannot answer these questions, need for full-coupling, where the cavity shape is updated by an ice sheet model, and the melt rates computed by a circulation model.





2 Model

8 Results

Onclusions/Perspectives



Model setup: domain

- Reduced Pine Island Domain because of extensive computational requirements.
- 1° latitude range, 10° longitude range
- 20 x 60 regular grid for the ocean (5km resolution cells)
- 2242 elements, 1200 vertices for the ice sheet





Model setup: mesh

- Triangular elements for FEM model ISSM
- Square grid for Finite Difference model MITgcm
- 2D model for ISSM (SSA), 3D circulation model for MITgcm





Modeling Strategy

- 2D SSA ice-flow model:
 - Depth-integrated flow, constrained inland with 1996 InSAR surface velocities (Rignot et al, 2008)
 - Inversion of basal friction inland and ice hardness for the ice shelf.
 - Steady-state thermal regime
 - Sub-element grounding line parameterization (Seroussi 2014, TCD)
- 3D GCM circulation model:
 - z-level model
 - Volume conserving
 - 66 levels in vertical thickness
 - Boundary conditions from optimed ECCO cube-sphere.



Modeling Strategy (coupling)

Coupling sequence

- 1 Send draft from ISSM to MITgcm
- 2 Update wetting and drying of cells.
- 3 Run 2 weeks of MITgcm circulation
- 4 Compute two-week averaged melt rates at center grid
- Send melt rate from MITgcm to ISSM
- 6 Run ISSM stress balance and mass transport
- Compute new cavity shape (new draft and new grounding line position)
- 8 Start at 1 again.
- Transfer of draft and melt rates is done at center grid, which is coincident with mesh vertices (no loss by interpolation).
- Both frameworks are independent, driven by a matlab loop. Configuration is generic, applicable to any basin.



Spin-up

- Bathymetry is from International Bathymetric Chart of the Southern Ocean (IBCSO), which includes BEDMAP2 in ice shelf cavity.
- Bedrock and bathymetry taken identical, to avoid transition issues — > bedrock at the grounding line and near the ice plain will not be accurate.
- Inversion of basal friction and ice hardness to match 1996 surface velocities from InSAR (Rignot et al, 2008)
- Initial melt-rate from MITgcm computed with steady-state grounding line.
- 150 year relaxation of ISSM using fixed initial melt rate and grounding line migration for 150 years.
- Modeled grounding line position near 1996 position.
- Transient runs start from this initial configuration.







2 Model

3 Results

Ocnclusions/Perspectives



50 year transient

- 50 year fully coupled transient run
- Grounding line position retreats inland, except near the main trunk
- Strong retreat towards Thwaites Glacier and towards Moses Mount in the North.
- Retreat occurs on upward sloping bedrock.



Cross-section profiles







Center flowline

- Stable even through downwards sloping bedrock/ice plain.
- Initial increase of cavity size far downstream, and stabilization after 15 years — > decrease in melting rate?
- Mesh resolution at grounding line locks the position in place?



Flowline towards Moses Mount

- Dynamic retreat from the onset
- Develops a sharp cavity (channel) near the grounding line
- Stablization due to proximity of boundary conditions (flux constrained)





Flowline towards Thwaites Glacier

- Dynamic retreat from the onset, prolonged in time.
- Develops a sharp cavity (channel) near the grounding line, with strong thinning inland.
- Creates new ice stream 30 years on
- Potential merging with Thwaites Glacier?





Sensitivity to far field ocean temperature

- +1° at the boundary of the Amundsen Sea Embayment
- 125 year run
- Grounding line locked on main trunk of PIG.
- Develops new Ice Stream between PIG and Thwaites 50 years on.



Melt Rates

- High melt rate near the trunk grounding line, transient stabilizes after initial 1 year.
- Melt rate towards Thwaites Glacier increases, ensuing creation of a new ice stream
- High melt rates near the main trunk not sufficient to further retreat PIG.
- Transient regime responsible for increase in surface velocities
- Retreat to the North not clearly explained.



2 Model

8 Results

4 Conclusions/Perspectives



Conclusions

- Prototype of coupled Ice-sheet/Ice-shelf dynamics and Ocean circulation.
- Exact energy-mass transfer between two very different discretization frameworks.
- Grounding line dynamics, cavity shape and melting rates captured within accuracy of mesh resolution
- Instability not triggered on the main trunk of PIG, but near its tributaries, especially towards Thwaites Glacier.
- Interplay between melt rate channelization and destabilization of flow in upward sloping bedrock areas.
- Need for integrated bathymetry/bedrock maps to understand better what is involved in the migration of the main trunk.



Acknowledgements

- Funding from NASA Cryosphere, IceBridge and MAP Programs, NSF's OPP, JPL R&TD
- NASA AMES facility, Pleiades cluster
- Cedar Glen Camp
- Open Post-Doc position for 1-2 years at JPL on Greenland/Antarctica projections and uncertainty quantification.

